Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	nanı	10/718,985	OLSSON ET AL.
	iary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jason M. Han	2875
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Ap</u>	peal Brief Filed
(1) <u>Michael H. Jester</u> .		(3)	
(2) Jason M. Han.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 October 2005		Time: <u>1:30PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1, 11, 21, 31, 41, 51, 61, 71, 72, 76, 77, 78, 81, and 84			
Prior art documents discussed: Isenga (U.S. Patent 4954932) and Morse (U.S. Patent 2504866)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the abovementioned claims to further elucidate the thru-hull assembly and place the case in condition for allowance.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)